Date original: 23/10/2023 19:40:00
Date correction: 10/11/2023 13:26:00
Date public redacted version: 10/11/2023 13:42:00

In: KSC-BC-2020-06

Specialist Prosecutor v. Hashim Thaçi, Kadri Veseli, Rexhep

Selimi and Jakup Krasniqi

**Before:** Trial Panel II

Judge Charles L. Smith III, Presiding

Judge Christoph Barthe Judge Guénaël Mettraux

Judge Fergal Gaynor, Reserve Judge

**Registrar:** Dr. Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Counsel for Hashim Thaçi

**Date:** 23 October 2023

**Language**: English

**Classification**: Public

## Public Redacted Version of Corrected Version of Thaçi Defence Notice of Objection to Victims' Counsel Cross-examination of

## W03780 and W04147

Specialist Prosecutor Counsel for Hashim Thaçi

Kimberly P. West Gregory Kehoe

Counsel for Victims Counsel for Kadri Veseli

Simon Laws KC Ben Emmerson KC

Counsel for Rexhep Selimi

Geoffrey Roberts

Counsel for Jakup Krasniqi

Venkateswari Alagendra

Date original: 23/10/2023 19:40:00 Date correction: 10/11/2023 13:26:00

Date public redacted version: 10/11/2023 13:42:00

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On 10 October 2023, Victims' Counsel filed his 'Seventh Notification of Wish to

Cross-Examine Witnesses', related to six additional witnesses from those notified as

to be called by the Specialist Prosecutor's Office ("SPO") in filing F01828.1

2. Victims' Counsel expressed his wish to cross-examine, inter alia, W03780 and

W04147. The Defence hereby provides notice that it will object to Victims' Counsel

cross-examining the mentioned witnesses, on the basis that cross-examination (i) is

not sufficiently justified, and (ii) would be prejudicial to the Defence's preparation for

its cross-examination.

II. APPLICABLE LAW

3. Rule 114(2) of the RPE provides: "whenever the personal interests of victims

participating in the proceedings are affected, and unless otherwise provided in the

Rules, Victims' Counsel may, under the control of the Panel: [...] (b) ask questions of

witnesses."2

4. The Order on Conduct of Proceedings<sup>3</sup> states in paragraph 34 that "questioning

of witnesses by Victims' Counsel shall be limited in principle to: (i) the harm or injury

done to victims and circumstances in which this occurred; (ii) the consequences of

those acts, on the victim, close relatives or the community to which he or she belongs;

and (iii) the appropriate relief to remedy the harm done to the victim."

<sup>1</sup> Rule 114(2) RPE.

<sup>2</sup> F01845, Victims' Counsel's Seventh Notification of Wish to Cross-Examine Witnesses, 10 October 2023.

<sup>3</sup> F01226/A01, Annex 1 to the Order on the Conduct of Proceedings, 25 January 2023.

KSC-BC-2020-06 1 23 October 2023

Date original: 23/10/2023 19:40:00 Date correction: 10/11/2023 13:26:00

Date public redacted version: 10/11/2023 13:42:00

5. Paragraph 35 adds that "Where Victims' Counsel wishes to ask questions on any

other issue, he shall seek leave from the Trial Panel together with: (i) a brief indication

of the issue; (ii) a justification for the request; and (iii) an estimate of the time necessary

for the additional questioning.

III. SUBMISSIONS

6. It should be noted at the outset that neither W03780 nor W04147 are victims in

these proceedings nor relative of victims.

7. Victims' Counsel indicates that he wishes to question W04147 in relation to

[REDACTED]; the request is justified on the basis that W04147 was [REDACTED].

8. Victims' Counsel further indicates that he wishes to question W03780 on the

basis that the witness [REDACTED], and that the [REDACTED].

9. The Defence submits that, based on the information provided in Victims'

Counsel submission, it is not possible to assess whether the areas that Victims'

Counsel wants to question the witnesses about are sufficiently relevant to the interests

of the victims, and therefore whether questioning of these witnesses by Victims'

Counsel is sufficiently justified.<sup>4</sup>

10. Victims' Counsel has confirmed, following Defence *inter partes* inquiries, that he

is not prepared to disclose the identities of VPPs in advance of the hearing, or in other

words, before it is clear that such disclosure is necessary because a request to cross-

<sup>4</sup> See F01226, Order on Conduct of Proceedings, paras. 34 and 35; see also Transcript of 14 July 2023,

T-5689 line 21 to T-5691 line 5.

KSC-BC-2020-06 2 23 October 2023

Date original: 23/10/2023 19:40:00 Date correction: 10/11/2023 13:26:00

Date public redacted version: 10/11/2023 13:42:00

examine a witness by Victims' Counsel has been made and granted by the Trial Panel.<sup>5</sup>

Victims' Counsel has however indicated that [REDACTED].6

11. The Defence reiterates its position as explained during the hearing of 13 July 2023

in response to Victims' Counsel notification of his wish to question W04746. In

particular, the Defence submits, as it did at the time, that allowing Victims' Counsel

to question W03780 and W04147 regarding the participating victims would be

prejudicial to the Defence's preparation for its cross-examination of the two witnesses.

12. It is the broader Defence position that, as part of its right to have sufficient time

to prepare for cross-examination, the Defence is entitled to receive adequate notice

from Victims' Counsel about potential areas of questioning so that the Defence may

be prepared to conduct its own cross-examination on those same areas, if necessary.

13. It follows that, when Victims' Counsel intends to question a witness in relation

to specific victims or VPPs, the identities of these victims or VPPs should be disclosed

to the Defence sufficiently in advance of cross-examination in order to allow the

Defence to prepare accordingly in a meaningful way. This would involve a variation

of the protective measures in place, when appropriate, to be requested by Victims'

Counsel and decided by the Trial Panel sufficiently in advance to allow the Defence

to prepare for cross-examination.

14. In this particular case, while [REDACTED], this is only the case since 18 October

2023; in relation to W03780, Victims' Counsel refers to [REDACTED], none of which

are known to the Defence.

<sup>5</sup> Email correspondence of 18 October 2023.

<sup>6</sup> [REDACTED].

KSC-BC-2020-06 3 23 October 2023

Date original: 23/10/2023 19:40:00 Date correction: 10/11/2023 13:26:00

Date public redacted version: 10/11/2023 13:42:00

15. For the reasons set out above, the Thaçi Defence hereby notifies the parties and the Trial Panel that it will object to Victims' Counsel request for leave to cross-examine

W03780 and W04147.

Word count: 977

Respectfully submitted on 23 October 2023,

Gregory W. Kehoe

Counsel for Hashim Thaçi

**Explanatory Note:** 

In the title of the filing, 'W04741' was deleted and replaced by 'W04147'.

In paragraphs 2, 11, 14 and 15, 'W04741' was deleted and replaced by 'W04147'.